



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,133	03/24/2004	Jean-Luc Perillon	1759.157	4558
23405	7590	06/17/2005	EXAMINER	
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W
MC

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,133	PERILLON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/04.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1- 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crouch (US 5,895,705) in view of EP 0480199 (EP '199). Crouch discloses a sign fabric comprising a release paper that is a specially treated paper substrate having a release coating thereon (col 3, ln 9-11). A varnish, i.e. acrylic resin, is used in the ink, which further contains pigments (col 3, ln 24-33). A PVC plastisol coating layer is also including in the fabric (col 3, ln 39-40). A polyester woven scrim is also incorporated in the fabric (col 3, ln 48-55). Crouch discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the fabric comprises a pressure sensitive adhesive.

EP '199 discloses an adhesive sheet material for sign making machines (abstract). The adhesive sheet material comprises a base layer, a layer of nonwoven scrim, a layer of pressure sensitive adhesive, and a release liner (col 2, ln 18-29). It would have been obvious to use the pressure sensitive adhesive of EP '199 in the fabric of Crouch, motivated by the desire to create a fabric that has increased lamination strength.

Regarding claim 5, although Crouch and EP '199 do not explicitly teach the claimed adhesive force, it is reasonable to presume that this property is inherent to the invention of Crouch and EP '199. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. scrim coated

with a varnish and a PVC plastisol, and a pressure sensitive adhesive). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of a pressure sensitive adhesive layer possessing an adhesive forced between 1 and 100 Newton, from a strip with a width of 5 cm, would obviously have been present once the Crouch and EP '199 product is provided. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102.

3. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crouch (US 5,895,705) and EP 0480199 (EP '199), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bonney et al. (US 1,784,810). Bonney et al. disclose a floor covering comprising an adhesive coating, which can be a varnish, having powdered metallic powder in said adhesive (col 1, ln 28-44). It would have been obvious to have used the powdered metallic powder of Bonney et al. in the fabric of Crouch and EP '199, motivated by the desire to create a fabric having the desired pigmentation and aesthetic effects.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UCR UCR

Ula Ruddock

Ula C. Ruddock
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700